# Risk factors for failure of closed forearm fracture reduction in the pediatric emergency department

# Neta Cohen<sup>1,3</sup>, Roy Gigi<sup>2,3</sup>, Shira Haberman<sup>3</sup>, Tali Capua<sup>1,3</sup>, Ayelet Rimon<sup>1,3</sup>

### BACKGROUND

Forearm shaft fractures account for one-third of all fractures in childhood. Combined closed reduction and cast immobilization are done under procedural sedation in the emergency department (ED). Closed reduction failure defined as an unacceptable alignment of the fracture, at the end of the procedure, is one of the most common indications for surgery.

### **OBJECTIVES**

To explore risk factors for failure of forearm fracture closed reduction in the pediatric ED, and to suggest indications for initial surgery.

### **METHODS**

This retrospective cohort study included all patients aged 0-18 years who presented to our pediatric ED with an extra articular forearm fracture treated with closed reduction between 5/2017 and 4/2021. We explored risk factors for procedural failure, defined as a need for surgical intervention within 6 weeks of the closed reduction attempt. A risk score was created by relying on the adjusted odd ratio (aOR) of each independent predictor.

## RESULTS

Of 375 patients (median age 8.1 years, 294 males [78.2%]), 44 patients (11.7%) sustained a reduction failure, of whom 42 (95.5%) had both radius and ulna fractures.

A total of 259 patients presented with forearm fractures involving both the radius and the ulna.

1 Pediatric emergency medicine, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center 2 Pediatric Orthopaedic Surgery Department, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center 3 Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

RESULTS					RESULTS		
Table 1. A comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with fracture of both   forearm bones according to ED reduction outcome					The following parameters were independent predictors for reduction failure: refracture (aOR 17.6, $p < 0.001$ ), open fracture (aOR 10.1, $p = 0.007$ ) midshaft fracture (aOR 2.6, $p = 0.004$ ), radial translation		
	reduction (n=218)	(n=41)	P value		rate $\geq 37\%$ in either plane (aOR 5.1, p = 0.004), and age $\geq 10$ years		
Age (mean $\pm$ SD)	8.8 ± 3.0	10.0 ± 3.9	< 0.001		(aOR 2.9, p = 0.01).		
Refracture	4 (1.8)	8 (19.5)	< 0.001		Risk assessment score for failure of closed	reduction of	
Pain score (mean $\pm$ SD	$6.4 \pm 2.6$	7.1 ± 1.9	0.05		both forearm bones		
Procedural sedation medications (mean ± SD)					Risk factor	Points	
Ketamine dosage (mg/kg)	1.6± 0.57	1.9±0.73	0.003				
Midazolam dosage (mg/kg)	0.08±0.1	0.07±0.2	0.62		Refracture	5	
Pre-procedural opioid administration Time from opioid administration to reduction, min	157 (72.4 ) 158.4 <u>+</u> 70.0	34 (81.0) 159.3 <u>+</u> 78.3	0.22 0.94				
(mean $\pm$ SD)					Open fracture	4	
Fracture characteristics					Radial translation $\geq 37\%$	3	
Fracture location, n(%)					Ndidebaft fratura	2	
Proximal	3 (1.4)	1(2.4)	0.50			Ζ	
Midshaft	90 (41.5)	26 (61.9)	0.01		Patient's age $\geq 10$ years	1	
Distal	124 (57.1)	15 (35.7)	0.01		<b>Low risk group</b> : patients with a score <3 points had	5% prevalence of	
Immature bone characteristics of the radius					reduction failure		
Complete fracture	134 (61.8)	36 (85.7)	0.002		Intermediate risk group: patients with scores between	en 3 to 5 points	
Greenstick fracture	93 (42.4)	11 (26.2)	0.05		had a 31% prevalence of reduction failure.		
Plastic deformity	4 (1.8)	0 (0.0)	>0.99		<b>High risk group</b> : patients with a score >5 points had	a 77% prevalence	
Immature bone characteristics of the radius					of reduction failure.		
Complete fracture	108 (54.8)	28 (70.0)	0.08				
Greenstick fracture	89 (45.2)	12 (30.0)	0.08		CONCLUSIONS		
Translation and angulation (mean $\pm$ SD )					Mast realistria forcome fractures can be made a f		
Radial translation	37.5 ± 38.9	66.4 ± 39.3	<0.001		Iviost pediatric iorearm fractures can be successfully	managed by closed	
Radial angulation	28.1 ±22.4	32.5 ±27.2	0.27		with reduction failure. Refracture open fracture midshaft location initial radius hone		
Ulnar translation	25.0 ±35.8	38.9 ±39.8	0.02				
Ulnar angulation	24.3 ±17.0	26.6 ±18.4	0.21		translation >3.7% (and not initial angulation) and not	ent age $>10$ years	
Dorsal angulation	174 (81.7)	32 (80.0)	0.82		are independent risk factors for reduction failure in t	wo-hone fractures	
Open fracture	8 (3.7)	7 (16.7)	0.004		We propose a risk score for reduction failure which a	an serve as a	

decision-making tool.